

Non-Markovian Stochastic Processes and the Wave-like Properties of Matter

Mario J. Pinheiro*

*Department of Physics and Centro de Física de Plasmas,
Instituto Superior Técnico, Av. Rovisco Pais, 8 1049-001 Lisboa, Portugal[†]*

(Dated: February 2, 2008)

A non-markovian stochastic model is shown to lead to a universal relationship between particle's energy, driven frequency and a frequency of interaction with the medium. It is briefly discussed the possible relevance of this general structure to various phenomena in the context of the formation of patterns in granular media, computation in a Brownian-type computer and the Haisch-Rueda-Puthoff inertial mass theory.

PACS numbers: 01.55.+b, 02.50.Ey, 05

I. INTRODUCTION

The study of physical systems with non-markovian statistical properties has provided a natural basis for the understanding of the role played by memory effects in such different fields as anomalous transport in turbulent plasmas [1], Brownian motion of macroparticles in complex fluids [2], in the vortex solid phase of twinned $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_7$ single crystals [3]. Recently, experimental evidence were reported of the quantum jumps of particles in the Earth's gravitational field [4] giving a strong evidence that jumping process is quite ubiquitous in natural processes. Thus, it becomes interesting to inquire: how the medium interaction, perturbing the free motion of a particle, leaves its own signature?

It is the purpose of this paper to provides the main lines of a derivation of what we believe to be a nontrivial property of the jumping process particles undergo, whenever they move in a perturbing medium. The idea is to put a particle moving straight in a discrete planar geometry, jumping from one site to another and, in the meanwhile, subject to a random interaction.

It is found that a universal and structurally very simple expression of the particle's energy prevails, intrinsically linked to the generation of such properties as the mass of a particle and the build-up of regular geometrical patterns.

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2 we introduce, for completeness, the basic features of a non-markovian stochastic model. It is then shown that the classical particle's energy must be proportional to the squared driven frequency over a frequency of dissipation in the medium; in particular, whenever the particle's dynamics is described by a planar wave, the de Broglie relationship is retrieved. In Section 3, the evidence of the referred general structure of the particle's energy is intuitively discussed in several branches of physics. We believe that the relationship between driving frequency

of a given process and its corresponding frequency of interaction with a medium provides a method for a general approach to dissipative systems and is able to predict a class of new relations.

II. INFINITE MEMORY MODEL

In a non-markovian model the prediction about the next link (x_{n+1}) are defined in terms of mutually dependent random variables in the chain $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$. Consider a particle jumping from one site to another in Euclidean space. We will address here the much simple situation of a deterministic jump process along a given direction. The jumping sites are assumed to be equidistantly distributed along the axis. Now, add to this jumping process an oscillatory motion due to interaction with a medium and characterized by stochasticity. The frequency of oscillation around an equilibrium position between two jumps is denoted by ν and β is the probability that each oscillation in the past has to trigger a new oscillation in the present. The simplicity of the described geometry is to same extent well justified by the recent experiments done by Nesvizhevsky and collaborators [4]. Ultracold neutrons in a vertical fall subject to a constant acceleration due to gravity, were shown do not move continuously, but rather jump from one height to another in quantum leaps.

Let $Q_m[q(t)]$ be the probability that one oscillation from the $M = m_0 + \dots + m_{q-1}$ which occurred in the past generates m oscillations at the q th step. Since we assume β is constant, this is an infinite memory model, meaning that an oscillation which has occurred long time ago produces the same effect as an oscillation which has occurred in the near past. Lets introduce the probability density, $Q_n(t)dt$, that the n th oscillation takes place in the interval of time $(t, t + dt)$ at q th step. Then

$$Q_{n+1}[q(t)] = \int_0^{q(t)} Q_n[q(t)] p_0[(q(t) - q(t')] dq(t'), \quad (1)$$

where $p_0(t - t')$ is the probability per unit time that the $(n+1)st$ oscillation takes place in the time interval $(t, t +$

*Electronic address: mpinheiro@ist.utl.pt

[†]URL: <http://alfa.ist.utl.pt/~pinheiro;>

dt) given that the n th oscillation took place at t' . Due to the hidden interactions the particle undergo with the medium, we treat the time of an oscillation as a random variable following a Poisson distribution

$$p_0(t-t') = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } (t-t') < \tau \\ \nu dt \exp[-\nu(t-t')] & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

Here, ν is the frequency of an oscillation and τ is the "dead" time. Designing by $\chi_n(s)$ and $\pi_0(s)$ the Laplace transforms of $Q_n(t)$ and $p_0(t)$, resp., the convolution theorem gives

$$\chi_{n+1}(s) = \chi_n(s)\pi_0(s). \quad (3)$$

From this expression we obtain the recursive relation

$$\chi_n(s) = [\pi_0(s)]^{n-1} \chi_1(s). \quad (4)$$

The evaluation of the transforms $\pi_0(s)$ and $\chi_1(s)$ gives

$$\pi_0(s) = \frac{\nu \exp(-(\nu+s)\tau)}{\nu+s}, \quad (5)$$

$$\chi_1(s) = \frac{\nu}{\nu+s}, \quad (6)$$

and

$$\chi_n(s) = \nu^n \frac{\exp(-(n-1)(\nu+s)\tau)}{(\nu+s)^n}. \quad (7)$$

The inverse transform calculated using the Laplace inverse theorem, gives the probability for the occurrence of m oscillations at time t :

$$Q_n(t) = \begin{cases} \nu \frac{\{\nu[t-(n-1)\tau]\}^{n-1} \exp(-\nu t)}{(n-1)!} & , t > (n-1)\tau \\ 0 & , t < (n-1)\tau. \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

To simplify, we shall put $\tau = 0$ and the probability density that the n th oscillation takes place in the interval of time $(t, t+dt)$ reads

$$Q_n(t)dt = \frac{\nu(\nu t)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \exp(-\nu t)dt. \quad (9)$$

It follows the probability density of occurrence of q -jumps at time t given by

$$\Psi_q(t)dt = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \xi_q(n) Q_n(t)dt, \quad (10)$$

or, in complete form,

$$\Psi_q(t)dt = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \xi_q(n) \frac{\nu(\nu t)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \exp(-\nu t)dt. \quad (11)$$

Here, $\xi_q(n)$ is the probability to occur n oscillations at q th jump. To evaluate $\xi_q(n)$ we first define $g_M(m_q)$, the

probability that M previous oscillations generate m_q oscillations at q th step [5]. The Bose-Einstein distribution is favored since many oscillations can pertain to the same step:

$$g_M(m_q) = \frac{(M+m_q-1)!}{m_q!(M-1)!} \beta^{m_q} (1-\beta)^M. \quad (12)$$

Introducing the conditional probability $\varphi_q(m_q|m_{q-1}, \dots, m_0)$ that at q th step there are m_q oscillations provided that at the previous steps $m_{q-1}, \dots, m_0$ oscillations have occurred, subject to the normalization condition

$$\sum_{m_q} \varphi_q(m_q|m_{q-1}, \dots, m_0) = 1, \quad (13)$$

then, it can be shown [5] that

$$\xi_q(n) = \sum_m \xi_0(m) (1-\beta)^{qm} [1 - (1-\beta)^q]^{n-m}$$

$$\frac{(n-1)!}{(m-1)!(n-m)!}. \quad (14)$$

Hence, the probability density of occurrence of q -jumps is written in the form

$$\Psi_q(t)dt = \frac{\alpha}{\nu} \exp(-\alpha t) \sum_m \xi_0(m) \frac{(\alpha t)^{m-1}}{(m-1)!} dt, \quad (15)$$

where we put $\alpha(q) \equiv (1-\beta)^q \nu$. It must be assumed we know $\xi_0(m)$, that is the probability to occur m oscillations from $t = 0$ up to the first jump.

With the assumption of a Poisson distribution for $\xi_0(m)$, the summation gives

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \xi_0(m) \frac{(\alpha t)^{m-1}}{(m-1)!} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda \alpha t}} I_1(\sqrt{\lambda \alpha t}), \quad (16)$$

where $I_1(x)$ is the first class modified Bessel function of order 1. Hence, the final result for the probability of occurrence of q -jumps between t and $t+dt$ is given by

$$\Psi_q(t)dt = \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{\lambda \nu^2 t}} \exp(-\alpha t) I_1(\sqrt{\lambda \alpha t}) dt. \quad (17)$$

Eq. 17 is characterized by a temporal argument and, in particular, for a sufficient number of steps, the limit $\sqrt{\lambda x} \rightarrow 0$ is satisfied and Eq. 17 reduces to

$$\Psi_q(t)dt \approx \frac{\alpha}{2} \exp[-\alpha t] dt. \quad (18)$$

We have in view a deterministic particle' system evolving according to a local mapping in a space of equidistant sites. This idealization lies in the Ehrenfest's equation describing the quantum mechanical mean values of position, and thus avoids the solution of a much more

complex problem [5]. Hence, we can rewrite the above equation in the form

$$\Psi(x, t)dt \approx \frac{\alpha}{2} \exp[-\alpha t]dt. \quad (19)$$

In terms of basic assumption of wave mechanics, the probabilities are quadratic forms of ψ functions, $\Psi_q(t) = |\psi_q(t)|^2$, with ψ the associated "wave". Therefore, we can seek a representation of the transport process in terms of wave function. By expanding the temporal argument present in the exponential function in Eq. 19 and retaining only terms of magnitude β^2 (higher order terms are less important and are harder to give them a physical meaning), we get

$$(1 - \beta)^q \nu t \approx \nu t - \frac{\beta q \Lambda \nu}{\Lambda} t + \frac{\hbar}{2} \frac{(\beta q \nu)}{\hbar \nu} t + \mathcal{O}(\beta^3). \quad (20)$$

The expansion allowed the identification of some mechanical properties of the particle in the medium. In fact, in analogy with a transversal wave in a vibrant string, we can define a group velocity $V \equiv \frac{\nu l}{2\pi n}$, with $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ (assuming a non-dispersive medium) as the instantaneous wave velocity (l is the average distance between two jumps) and ν as the number of cycles per second loosed on a given space position (ν is an angular frequency), both quantities as seen by an observer at rest in the lattice. As a first approximation the hyperbolic partial differential equation is a good representation of almost all electrodynamics, yielding plane wave solutions in the complex representation $\Psi \sim \exp i(\omega t - \vec{K} \cdot \vec{r})$. According to this representation we are lead to identify the third term on the right-hand side of Eq. 20 with the energy carried by the particle:

$$E = \frac{\hbar}{2\nu} (\beta q \nu)^2. \quad (21)$$

The probability that each oscillation in the past has to trigger a new oscillation in the present, β , can be defined by means of the relativistic formula for energy, yielding $\beta = \frac{f\Lambda}{c}$ [6], where $\Lambda \equiv \frac{l}{q}$ represents a distance over number of jumps, that is, it is an average distance per step; f represents the role of the driven frequency since through β the wave propagation is implicitly included. But $E = \overline{m}c^2$, inasmuch as Einstein's relationship holds true. Finally, putting $\omega = 2\pi f$, it is interesting that we get the following universal and structurally very simple expression for the mass

$$\overline{m} = \frac{\hbar \omega^2}{\nu c^2} n^2. \quad (22)$$

The integer n allow the possible existence of subharmonics in the energy spectrum. So far, the above equation belongs to a set of structural relationship between some physical concepts: energy, driven frequency ω and dissipative frequency ν . In fact, ν embodies the degree of interaction with the medium, but β is intrinsically related to the structure of the medium itself. Hence,

the non-markovian character of the stochastic process is related to the nature of the medium rather than the past history of the particle.

The medium perturbation is characterized by ν shaping the coefficient $\overline{m}$ in a slightly different pattern than the well known quantum mechanical expression for a photon packet ($E = \hbar\omega$). Note that Eq. 22 is consistent with the De Broglie relation for free particles (planar waves), since then $\omega = \nu$ (resonance condition). Otherwise, the dissipation in the medium must be taken into account to verify a different relationship for the particles energy, that is, Eq. 22. We would like to point out that, in as much as a quantitative correlation is match between a source system (providing the periodic force which delivers energy to a given process) and an interactive medium, our Eq. 22 has a possible connection with the generalized Nyquist relation [7]. Also, it is worth to remark that our main result is not merely a bookkeeping of phenomena, but it traduces a balance between two different physical mechanisms related to the driving force and interactive process.

III. APPLICATION IN VARIOUS BRANCHES OF PHYSICS

Having presented the mainframe of the infinite memory model, we give now some illustrations upon our point of view that it is possible to achieve an understanding of some physical phenomena in, possibly, a wide range. In the examples given below the general structure described by Eq. 22 seems to govern geometrical patterns in granular media, inertial effects in stochastic electrodynamics and minimal energy expenditure in computational thermodynamics.

All phenomena hereby referred share the same imprint of a stochastic interaction with a medium, building-up a given physical structure.

A. Spheres packing and gravitational surface waves

Granular matter consists of macroscopic particles of different size, shape and surface properties and those characteristics lead to specific packing behavior. Particle clustering results from an energy loss associated with particle-particle interactions. This interesting and fascinating behavior can be described by the infinite memory model and the constitutive equation embodied in 22. To probe it, we start by rearranging Eq. 22 in the form

$$\lambda = \frac{\overline{m}c^2 v}{\hbar f^2} = \frac{\frac{Ev}{\hbar}}{f^2} = \frac{g_{eff}}{f^2}. \quad (23)$$

Here, we defined $v = \frac{\lambda \nu}{2\pi}$ and $g_{eff} = \frac{Ev}{\hbar}$ as an effective acceleration. λ is the wavelength associated to the geometrical pattern observed. Detailed experiments on spheres packing of diameter d in 2 and 3 dimensions have

shown [8] the display of repetitive geometric patterns, similar to the instabilities reported by Faraday.

Actually, it was observed a dependence of the wavelength of those geometrical patterns and the frequency of excitation f imposed vertically on a thin layer of granular matter. Both are related through the equation

$$\lambda = \lambda_{min} + \frac{g_{eff}}{f^2}, \quad (24)$$

where λ_{min} represents a threshold near $11d$, with d denoting the particles diameter. Incidentally, gravitational waves in the surface of a fluid have the same dependency [9].

The two main mechanisms governing the phenomena are the direct excitation of surface waves and a mechanism of successive bifurcations resulting from the excitations due to the vibrations of granular matter. In fact, memory-effects have been experimentally shown to occur in granular materials [10].

B. Computation in a Brownian-type computer

The work on classical, reversible computation has laid the foundation for the development of quantum mechanical computers.

In 1961 Landauer analyzed the physical limitations on computation due to dissipative processes [11]. He showed that energy loss could be made as small as you want, provided the device works infinitesimally slowly. In fact, it is shown that the first condition for any deterministic device to be reversible is that its input and output be uniquely recoverable from each other - this is the condition of logical reversibility. If, besides this condition, a device can actually run backwards, then it is called physically reversible and it dissipates no heat, according to the second law of thermodynamics.

An example of reversible computing involves a copying machine, for example. Feynman derived a formula estimating the amount of free energy it takes to realize a computation in a given interval of time [12]. Envisioning a computer designed to run by a diffusion process, characterized by a slightly higher probability to run forward than backwards, Feynman proposed the relationship to hold

$$E = k_B T \frac{t_m}{t_a}. \quad (25)$$

Here, E is the energy loss per step, $k_B T$ is thermal energy, t_m and t_a are, resp., the minimum time taken per step and the time per step actually taken. It is easily seen that the $k_B T = \hbar \omega$ holds true since thermal energy is the driven process and $\omega = \frac{2\pi}{t_a}$ and $\nu = \frac{2\pi}{t_m}$. The forward transition rate to a new configuration of available states (say from $\{n_i\}$ to $\{n_j\} > \{n_i\}$) span in a time scale which has a non-null correlation factor and thus generating a memory effect. Although, as stressed by Feynman, Eq. 25 is only approximative, we view in it a particular

manifestation of Eq. 22. In fact, Eq. 25 represents the minimum energy that must be expended per computational step in a given process. We have actually a new deep insight to our Eq. 22: it results from the best match between energy cost versus speed. It is a by-product of minimum principles.

C. Haisch-Rueda-Puthoff inertial mass theory

Based on stochastic electrodynamics, Haisch, Rueda and Puthoff [13] put in evidence the relationship between the zero-point field (ZPF) and inertia. ZPF is uniform and isotropic in inertial frames, while showing asymmetries when viewed in accelerated frames. Applying a technique developed formerly by Einstein and Hopf [14] and which is at the foundation of stochastic electrodynamics, the charged particles constituent of matter (partons or quarks) were driven to oscillate at velocity $\mathbf{v}_{osc}$ by the electric component of the ZPF, $\mathbf{E}^{ZP}$, thereby accelerating in a direction perpendicular to the oscillations induced by the ZPF. The action of the magnetic component of the ZPF generate a Lorentz force whose average value is given by

$$\mathbf{F}_L = \left\langle \left(\frac{q}{c} \right) [\mathbf{v}_{osc} \times \mathbf{B}^{ZP}] \right\rangle = -\frac{\Gamma \hbar \omega_c^2}{2\pi c^2} \mathbf{a}. \quad (26)$$

They interpreted this result as an account for inertia and the inertial mass, m_i , is shown to be a function of the damping constant for the oscillations and ω_c , the characteristic frequency of particle-ZPF interactions:

$$m_i = \frac{\Gamma \hbar \omega_c^2}{2\pi c^2}. \quad (27)$$

In the above expression, $\Gamma = \frac{q^2}{6\pi\epsilon_0 m_0 c^2}$ is the Abraham-Lorentz radiation damping constant appearing on the nonrelativistic equation of motion for a particle of mass m_0 and charge q when submitted to the zero-point radiation electric field; $\omega_c = \sqrt{\frac{\pi c^5}{\hbar G}}$ is the effective Planck cut-off frequency of the vacuum zero-point-fluctuation spectrum [15]. This idea is rooted in a former publication by Sakharov [16] envisioning gravitation as resulting from a small disturbance of the metrical elasticity of space.

Comparing Eq. 27 with Eq. 22, it is clear that those expressions have the same structure. Interestingly, Puthoff and collaborators conjectured that their interpretation of mass as resulting from a resonance with the ZPF leads directly to the de Broglie relation [17]. In their interpretation, inertia is a kind of electromagnetic drag that affects charged particles undergoing acceleration through the electromagnetic zero-point field. In fact, according to the de Broglie perspective, the inertial mass of a particle is the vibrational energy divided by c^2 of a localized oscillating field. It is not an intrinsic property but instead a measure of the degree of coupling with a localized field (already, in his own view, of electromagnetic origin).

However, it will be noted that our analysis gives evidence of a more complex structure although, when the resonant condition is verified, it collapses to the well-known the Broglie relation.

IV. CONCLUSION

Exploring the underlying transport mechanism of a test particle with infinite memory induces us to attribute a universal and structurally simple property to the particle's energy, embodied in Eq. 22. In our interpretation, in a perturbative medium the particle's energy results from a balance between the driven frequency ω and a

frequency of interaction in the medium, ν . In the particular case of planar waves this result is consistent with de Broglie wavelength relationship.

Our approach incorporates the fundamental properties of dynamics and how deeply rooted in natural phenomena is this general structure, it is illustrated in various objects and different kind of fields.

Acknowledgments

I wish to acknowledge Prof. Paulo S, from the University of Porto, for the critical reading of the manuscript.

-
- [1] R. Ballescu: Phys. Rev. E **51**, 4807 (1995)
 - [2] F. Amblard, A. C. Maggs, B. Yurke, A. N. Pargellis, and S. Leibler, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 4470 (1996)
 - [3] S. O. Valenzuela and V. Bekeris, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**(18), 4200 (2000)
 - [4] Valery V. Nesvizhevsky, Hans G. Börner, Alexander K. Petukhov, Harmut Abele, Stefan Baeßler, Frank J. Rueß, Thilo Stöferle, Alexander Westphal, Alexei M. Gagarisky, Guennadi A. Petrov, and Alexander V. Strelkov, Nature **415**, 297 (2002)
 - [5] Marcel Ovidiu Vlad, Physica A **208**, 167 (1994)
 - [6] From the relation $E^2 = (\hbar Kc)^2 + (mc^2)^2$ in the approximation $mc^2 \ll pc$, the identification $f = \frac{Kc}{2\pi}$ follows. If we postulate $K = \frac{2\pi}{\Lambda}\beta$, then $\beta = \frac{f\Lambda}{c}$ is a reasonable choice.
 - [7] Herbert B. Callen and Theodore A. Welton, Phys. Rev. **83**(1), 34 (1951)
 - [8] Jacques Duran, *Sables, poudres et grains* (Eyrolles Sciences, Paris, 1997)
 - [9] Landau et Lifchitz, *Mécanique des Fluides* (Éditions Mir, Moscou, 1971)
 - [10] Christoff Jossierand, Alexei V. Trashenko, Daniel M. Mueth, and Heinrich M. Jaeger, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**(17), 3632 (2000)
 - [11] R. Landauer, IBM J. Res. Develop. **3**, 183 (1961)
 - [12] R. P. Feynman, *Feynman Lectures on Computation*, Edited by Anthony J. G. Hey and Robin W. Allen (Addison-Wesley, New York, 1996)
 - [13] Bernhard Haish, Alfonso Rueda, H. E. Puthoff, Speculations in Science and Technology, **20**, 99 (1997)
 - [14] A. Einstein and L. Hopf, Ann. Phys. (Leipzig) **33**, 1105 (1910)
 - [15] H. E. Puthoff, Phys. Rev. A **39**(5), 2333 (1989)
 - [16] A. D. Sakharov, Sov. Phys. - Doklady, **12**(11), 1040 (1968)
 - [17] B. Haisch and A. Rueda, *Causality and Locality in Modern Physics*, Eds. G. Hunter, S. Jeffers, J.-P. Vigiér, (Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1998)